¹ Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering

2025 Midwest Auditory Research Conference

Overview

Frequency Relationship

Analysis

 $F_2/F_1 = 1.22$

Service members encounter damaging sounds throughout their careers—from continuous noise to brief high-intensity blasts (e.g., improvised explosive devices). In this study, we measured hearing thresholds, temporal processing to a modulated tone (f_c = 4 kHz, f_m = 223 Hz), cochlear function (DPOAE + SFOAE), and acoustic reflex (WB-MEMR) following continuous noise exposure up to 4 weeks (40 hours/week at 87.5 dBA) simulating aircraft carrier conditions. Our primary objective is to identify auditorybased biomarkers, supported by histology, to differentiate noise- and blast-induced hearing loss profiles.

MOTIVATION

• Hearing loss is the most common service-related disability in U.S. veterans [1] - Acoustic exposure diversity (continuous noise vs blast) - Comorbidities: traumatic brain injury (TBI), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), anxiety, depression, addiction, etc. - Compromised in-service and post-service communication 30 • Pure tone audiometry often misses hidden hearing loss [2] • Preclinical findings are challenging to translate - Species noise vulnerability differences - Mostly investigated short-term exposures (~2 hours) - Limited functional assessment paired with histology Primary Objective: Characterize functional and structural auditory effects across species (chinchilla, rat, human) following continuous noise and blast exposure NOISE EXPOSURE 0.5 kHz Click Occupational aircraft carrier exposure at 87.5 dBA [3] Histology A Physiology • <u>4 days/week</u> for 1, 2, or 4 weeks (<u>10 hours/day</u>) 🔲 n = 6 📃 n = 6 📕 n = 6 • Middle-ear (ME) adjustment \rightarrow dBA to dB(Chin) 🔺 n = 18 🔺 n = 12 🔺 n = 6 33% group size loss due to histology (N = 18) Day 30 FUNCTIONAL ASSESMENT Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR) 2. Envelope Following Response (EFR) **Rectangular Amplitude Modulation (RAM)** EFR (RAM) ABR F_m = 223 Hz $F_c = 4 \text{ kHz}$ Stimulus 0.5 – 8 kHz Stimulus Level (dB SPL) $0 - 80 (\Delta = 10 \text{ dB})$ 65 and 80 Subaverage Cross-correlation [4] | Phase Locking Value (PLV) Total Sum Analysis Anesthesia (SQ) Xylazine (4 mg/kg) + Ketamine (20 mg/kg) **D**7 **D**14 **D**30 3. Wide-band Middle Ear Muscle Reflex (WB-MEMR) [5] WB-MEMR - - - - <mark>- - - - - -</mark> - - - - - - - -Probe: Click (c_{1-7}) | Elicitor: Broadband Noise (0.5 - 8 kHz)Stimulus $45-105 (\Delta = 6 \text{ dB})$ 90 Stimulus Level (dB SPL) Analysis Immittance Change: c₁ vs c₂₋₇ 4. Swept Otoacoustic Emissions [6] DPOAE SFOAE F₂: 0.5 – 16 kHz F_{probe}: 0.5 – 16 kHz Frequency Range Sweep Direction Upward (1 oct/sec) Downward (1 oct/sec) $F_{probe} = 40$ $F_{supressor} = 60$ Stimulus Level (dB FPL) $F_2 = 75$ F₁ = 85

 $F_{\text{probe}} - F_{\text{supressor}} = 50 \text{ Hz}$

Least Squares Fit (6 dB SNR)



² Department of Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences







2016. [8] Keshishzadeh et al. "Towards Personalized Auditory Models: Predicting Individual Sensorineural Hearing-Loss Profiles From Recorded Human Auditory Physiology." January 2021. Acknowledgements: We would like to thank 1) Dr. Edward Bartlett and Dr. Hari Bharadwaj for their valuable contributions to this cross-species project; 2) Dr. Jane Mondul for her contributions on developing the proposed histology; and 3) Dr. Rivi Shi for his contributions on establishing and validating the proposed blast exposure protocol. This work has been completed fully and/or partially under NIH (T32-DC016853) and DOD (W81XWH-21-1-0829)

sponsorship